

2020 OWASP Member Survey

How would you rate your overall satisfaction with OWASP?	Count	Pct	
5 - Very Satisfied	73	27.7%	
4 - Mostly Satisfied	121	45.8%	
3 - Neutral	53	20.1%	
2 - Mostly Negative	10	3.8%	
1 - Very Negative	4	1.5%	
No Opinion	3	1.1%	Score
Total	264		3.95

How involved are you in OWASP activities?	Count	Pct	
5 - Very Involved	44	16.9%	
4 - Somewhat Involved	59	22.6%	
3 - Occassionally Involved	71	27.2%	
2 - Rarely Involved	59	22.6%	
1 - Never Involved	28	10.7%	Score
Total	261		3.5

What OWASP activities do you find most valuable? (Select all that apply)	Count	Pct
Networking with others	158	59.8%
Local Chapter Meetings	164	62.1%
Global Conferences	87	33.0%
Regional & Local Events	98	37.1%
Monthly Newsletter	61	23.1%
OWASP Projects	186	70.5%
Training Events	74	28.0%

How often have you downloaded OWASP Projects?	Count	Pct
I have never downloaded or used a Project	30	11.4%
Less than 2 projects	59	22.3%
Between 5 and 10 projects	137	51.9%
More than 10 projects	38	14.4%
Total	264	

If you have downloaded Projects, how useful were they for you?	Count	Pct	
5 - Very useful	89	39.9%	
4 - Mostly useful	102	45.7%	
3 - Hit and miss	30	13.5%	
2 - Mostly not useful	0	0.0%	
1 - Not useful	2	0.9%	
Did not answer	41		Score
Total	223		4.24

What activities are you involved with on a regular basis? (Select all that apply)	Count	Pct
Training Events	38	14.4%
Events and Meetings	125	47.3%
Project Leadership	28	10.6%
Conferences	91	34.5%
Chapter Leadership	43	16.3%
Projects	56	21.2%

Since you've joined, how has the value of being part of the community changed?	Count	Pct	
5 - Much better value	28	10.6%	
4 - Mostly better value	64	24.2%	
3 - About the same	146	55.1%	
2 - Less value than before	18	6.8%	
1 - Must less valuable than before	6	2.3%	
Did not Answer	3	1.1%	Score
Total	265		3.34

Do you plan to renew your membership when it's next due?	Count	Pct
Definitely will renew	112	46.5%
Most likely will renew	66	27.4%
Unsure	27	11.2%
Will likely not renew	10	4.1%
Will definitately not renew	4	1.7%
Not Applicable, I'm a Lifetime Member	22	9.1%
Did not answer	24	
Total	241	

In your job, what is your typical security role?	Count	Pct
Breaker	44	16.6%
Builder	127	47.9%
Defender	94	35.5%
Total	265	

How long have you been involved in OWASP?	Count	Pct
Just over the past year	48	18.1%
Between one and three years	63	23.8%
Between three and five years	64	24.2%
Between five and ten years	61	23.0%
More than ten years	29	10.9%
Total	265	

How long have you been a paid member of OWASP?	Count	Pct
Joined over the past year	74	30.1%
Between one and three years ago	75	30.5%
Between three and five years ago	49	19.9%
More than five years ago	45	18.3%
I am not a member	3	1.2%
Did not answer	19	
Total	246	

I currently hold an OWASP Leadership role (Chapter, Project or other)	Count	Pct
Yes	50	18.9%
No	215	81.1%

How would you rate your overall satisfaction with OWASP?
What factors most led to your rating above?

1 - Very Negative	Everything you do is broken. Your web site is a constant downward spiral. Your email management lends itself to spamming. You treat members poorly. Total lack of an effective member portal.
1 - Very Negative	this survey
1 - Very Negative	No idea what I got... I paid and got access to nothing. Spoke to no one, neither been spoken to.
2 - Mostly Negative	Slow communication and poor community
2 - Mostly Negative	Members are not viewed any differently then people who attend meetings when it comes to input on any operational or financial strategic decisions by the board and foundation. Lack of consistency, standards, and professional communication. Board bylaws and resolutions seem to have been compromised to make things less controversial and cater to minority of complainers to quiet them. If the board members need to be members the chapter and project leaders should also be required to be members. The board members put in as much if not more work and membership is a requirement. Requiring the paid membership I believe will weed out the less serious and dedicated leaders.
2 - Mostly Negative	The new donation restrictions.
2 - Mostly Negative	Poor project quality. Some Flagship projects have not been updated in years but are still considered flagship. Many on the Global board do not appear to be experienced which makes the organisation look amateur.
2 - Mostly Negative	Foundation has shifted to focus on Foundation revenue while leaving Chapters and members wondering about future of non-Foundation focuses. Projects and summits get some attention, but chapters and locally run conferences are not given much support.
2 - Mostly Negative	Poor leadership
2 - Mostly Negative	I love OWASP. However, I feel the inaction in regard to handling abuse and enforcing basic decency requirements (as outlined in the bylaws) in community forums, like the leaders' list, has seriously degraded the organization. What the org has tolerated on the leader's list has ruined OWASP for me. This issue goes beyond the leader's lists and includes how some community members have been allowed to treat the Board and other OWASP volunteers.
2 - Mostly Negative	Intransparency, centralization, being treated condescendingly, slow/no reactions to inquiries, no working access to tools like Atlassian
3 - Neutral	We have a chapter in Charlotte, NC but it's not active anymore. I will need to find another chapter to be a part of. I'd suggest closing the Charlotte chapter of its no longer active. We've had a few people asking about new meetings but they never come to be.
3 - Neutral	Local chapters are great, at the leaders level (e.g. on the mailing list), it's a lot of nonsense.
3 - Neutral	I love what OWASP stands for, I like the local meetings, love the top 10 - However your membership support - even to login and see if I am still a member is rough. Lots of web redirects (suspicious) - I signed up for lifetime and now got an email saying to did not re-sign up. I am checking web site certs blocking scripts etc... and I still am not sure if I sent my \$500 to the right place
3 - Neutral	I haven't yet attended a single OWASP event in South Africa since becoming a member.
3 - Neutral	I haven't got any replied from the dawn yet regarding the owasp student chapter
3 - Neutral	The leader for the Danville group quit and dissolved the group. Now there are no local meetings anymore.
3 - Neutral	Lack of support from OWASP Global Board
3 - Neutral	No events in APAC and general lack of commitment from the board
3 - Neutral	New York metro activities have quieted down compared to 5 years ago.
3 - Neutral	Technical factors, In terms of information, The biggest disadvantage is the lack of security synchronization internationally
3 - Neutral	Goals and intentions are good. Marketing and general public reach-out are minimal to non-existent, which IMO misses the entire point.

3 - Neutral	Self driven communication and lack of much else other than web projects tools (which are obviously very useful...thank you)
3 - Neutral	Lack of communication. Not sure where the value is beyond certain apps.
3 - Neutral	Expanding attempts to develop the OWASP "brand," rather than focusing on delivering the best web-security advisory content
3 - Neutral	Not much activities in Singapore despite having a chapter here.
3 - Neutral	Good vision, lack of follow-thru, trying to please everyone is hard, but sometimes the solutions do not work out all that well.
3 - Neutral	It is important about chapter supporting and question response.
3 - Neutral	I don't really interact much with OWASP, to be honest. The last time I went looking for a resource it had been moved to GitHub, then moved off of GitHub to somewhere else, which then had a landing page that said I should go check GitHub.
3 - Neutral	Many of the people are wonderful and willing to commit their time to helping others. Overall interacting with the organization itself can be very frustrating and many times it seems to be out of sync with the members.
3 - Neutral	I haven't had much interaction with them this year. When events come around in Dublin tickets go so fast then you find out after that the event wasn't even full
3 - Neutral	Improductive email threads
3 - Neutral	Great project, great people. However, difficult to find relevant and up-to-date information (for instance on website, I hope the new one will fix the issue; members directory not updated / missing ; local chapters don't seem to be under control), can't see benefits of membership.
3 - Neutral	Local chapter is attendance, frequency and content is meagre
3 - Neutral	OWASP needs better support to small chapters and local activities.
3 - Neutral	I want more information on future meetings. Can you speed up the organisation of my local branch in Reading, UK
3 - Neutral	OWASP China is inactive
3 - Neutral	I don't have much interaction with OWASP, but I do use their materials so wanted to join and make a contribution that way.
3 - Neutral	Connection of management to the field
3 - Neutral	not must engagement opportunity in Singapore
3 - Neutral	i'm neither a breaker nor a builder, as a marketing guy i'm ok with what i'm getting, wish we had more visionary and business discussions.
3 - Neutral	The mission is good but the organization isn't great. There's a lot of toxicity in the community. I run a chapter and I typically try to stay as far away from the Foundation as possible. To be clear – the staff are good and mean well, but there's a lot of pointless bureaucracy and argument that isn't worth my time.
4 - Mostly Satisfied	Good conference
4 - Mostly Satisfied	It doesn't have a lot of money behind it, so it's as good as it can be. However, there is a lot of mess and slop that needs to be purged or cleaned up.
4 - Mostly Satisfied	OWASP projects, reference materials and tools
4 - Mostly Satisfied	good information available, I wish there were more events/local chapter meetings (or maybe there are but I don't attend)
4 - Mostly Satisfied	I have not had the opportunity to be more involved with OWASP activities in the past. Although I did attend an event by OWASP in taiwan.
4 - Mostly Satisfied	OWASP events
4 - Mostly Satisfied	I really like the OWASP conferences and large suite of toolings it promotes. However, I do sometimes worry with the tools that there's too many efforts, and thus it's hard for me to know whether a tool is mature and actively supported or if it's in a basic development stage and maybe not yet appropriate for real workloads.
4 - Mostly Satisfied	Projects should be a bit more centralized so that it is easier to participate. Each project seems to operate differently. This can make it hard to get involved, and allows projects to lapse as they generally come down to one or two leaders instead of a group of participants.

4 - Mostly Satisfied	It would be great to see some trainings on the most popular tools used by OWASP members. For example, with Burp Suite how do you actually create the tests and run them rather than a demo where everything is already created and set up.
4 - Mostly Satisfied	Primary factor will be community, which i'm finding very open and helpful. There are still some issues, mainly regarding communication between some entities.
4 - Mostly Satisfied	Great communications and projects.
4 - Mostly Satisfied	Choice of speakers/topics.
4 - Mostly Satisfied	Great resources and community, but finding them can be improved
4 - Mostly Satisfied	OWASP does great work and I'm happy to support the project, but I'm not engaged at all.
4 - Mostly Satisfied	Hyper focus on software and lack of focus on operations. "Dev" "Sec" "Ops" means we need to understand Ops
4 - Mostly Satisfied	There are a lot of security information organizations all over the world (ISF, (ISC) ² , EC Council, ISACA, . . .) and a better cooperation I believe it would be a great benefit for everybody. The new format of the website (no more wiki) in my opinion was a failure.
4 - Mostly Satisfied	The great benefits OWASP provides, both in events as well as project resources.
4 - Mostly Satisfied	The work and mission OWASP does is important, but I am missing a general level of professionalism. Projects are often of low quality and unmaintained. There is a lot of different ideas, but often a lack of focus. Conferences are good, but not great. AppSec Global DC was good, but some talks could be better, as well as the venue
4 - Mostly Satisfied	Good initiative
4 - Mostly Satisfied	ASVS and OWASP Top 10
4 - Mostly Satisfied	I do not have enough clarity of events. I would also like to see more on certifications that I can recommend for my team
4 - Mostly Satisfied	Focusing on security as a facet instead of step.
4 - Mostly Satisfied	Если правильно понимаю то вы Партнер Microsoft по безопасности и можете мне помочь протестировать веб сайты и услуги МФО Украины (Онлайн кредиты и микрозаймы)
4 - Mostly Satisfied	Abundance of material, tools that help with application security approach.
4 - Mostly Satisfied	I am satisfied with the overall content and mission of OWASP. I am a little disappointed in our local Las Vegas chapter however as they haven't booked any events in the last 6-8 months. The community should be gathering on a more regular, at least monthly basis.
4 - Mostly Satisfied	Once in a while, I get a colored newsletter
4 - Mostly Satisfied	God community in Germany, (mostly) responsive staff when using ticket system
4 - Mostly Satisfied	cheat sheets and other content are great as well as affordable events and vendor neutrality
4 - Mostly Satisfied	Good and more important independent information provided on computer security.
4 - Mostly Satisfied	Because seems the engagement is low from OWASP side, no way to contact them
4 - Mostly Satisfied	OWASP seems to be slowly improving over time, though the pace of change isn't as fast as I'd like.
4 - Mostly Satisfied	I believe there is a lack of vendor neutral training and presentations in the global conferences on how to build security into software products from the start as a Security Program, treating security as a natural extension of quality using similar approaches, metrics, and cooperative testing frameworks/processes. All security bugs are quality bugs. Too often development organizations treat the two concepts as totally independent efforts, if they even have formalized both efforts. Many companies focus on "pentesting as security" (or security validation after the fact) with detected issue fixes added into later releases. And often the burden of security is on the Security Professional who is significantly outnumbered by developers. Security professionals need to understand how to build and operate a security program like or even as part of a quality program and just like quality work to have development staff perform the security/quality work with the Security Professional focused on measuring and improving program effectiveness.
4 - Mostly Satisfied	Some projects are better run than others. It would be nice if there was an indicator of how active a project was.
4 - Mostly Satisfied	The work you do is very valuable

4 - Mostly Satisfied	+ Openness (cc-by licensed docs) , FOSS software, NON commercial driven, real 501 non profit! - mail groups now Google mail (privacy disaster), too little physical community meetings in Benulux , (too!) expensive conferences
4 - Mostly Satisfied	I am currently one of the paper/presentation reviewers for OWASP AppSec Dublin 2020 CfP. The only material that is visible is the abstract and the 'detailed' outline. This is typically around a hundred words. The total absence of any actual representative tangible material from the proposer makes any informed assessment of the worth of the proposal almost impossible. The blinding system that is being used is poor and arguably 'not fit for purpose'. It is also intensely frustrating to be attempting to make value judgements whilst being faced with an almost total lack of information. I am very upset that OWASP has such a poor system for assessing proposals for conference papers and presentations, and it colours my attitude to OWASP as a whole. It is all very disappointing.
4 - Mostly Satisfied	Public information seems to be somewhat weak. So called, "System Security Experts" show up tv, on but there is seldom follow up from our membership when a major security leak is discussed. Why? Experian for example, is still in the cleanup mode after one of the largest breaches in "credit history", but where is the official response from OWASP? I believe OWASP needs a more out front response team and image to the public as the first line of defense in system security.
4 - Mostly Satisfied	Had a great time at the conference in Amsterdam en enjoyed several of the flagship projects.
4 - Mostly Satisfied	OWASP provides very valuable resources for me. Now even better looking with the new website... ;)
4 - Mostly Satisfied	the projects
4 - Mostly Satisfied	The level of overall member engagement seems to have gone up.
4 - Mostly Satisfied	The AppSec conferences
4 - Mostly Satisfied	The documentation and resources are great
4 - Mostly Satisfied	More local meetings in NL
4 - Mostly Satisfied	OWASP meetup organize speakers over food
4 - Mostly Satisfied	Great organization
4 - Mostly Satisfied	Great community and offerings.
4 - Mostly Satisfied	I want to get involved..
4 - Mostly Satisfied	Community involvement
4 - Mostly Satisfied	Lack of Conferences in close area.
4 - Mostly Satisfied	Information available excellent, research excellent and opportunities to collaborate excellent
4 - Mostly Satisfied	It's an international organization and I've been able to attend at least one local activity.
4 - Mostly Satisfied	awareness on security vulnerabilities
4 - Mostly Satisfied	I'm less less time on OWASP recently. OWASP is great, especially chapter meetings and conferences. Many extremely good projects are incepted under the flag of OWASP. On the other hand, a lot of great security opensource tools and initiatives have nothing to do with OWASP. AppSec niche has grown and is less dominated with OWASP compared to 10 yrs ago.
4 - Mostly Satisfied	* Latest board voting process was somewhat obscure. I never received the link for voting (I know I was in a grey area situation), but communication wasn't good at all in the process. => We still have a very good problem around communication. I see the efforts on getting that better, and to be honest I hope they succeed. For sure, and the most important part that I've saw is the willing to change. I respect that, and I hope we get to better standards.
5 - Very Satisfied	Success of OWASP Top Ten, and the high quality of the most recent conference in London.
5 - Very Satisfied	The community's determination to improve security and educate the masses.
5 - Very Satisfied	I love the organization, local support and people
5 - Very Satisfied	Well done projects, good speeches on the events.

5 - Very Satisfied	AppSec CA
5 - Very Satisfied	Quality of materials and community activity
5 - Very Satisfied	Comprehensive content and support, very knowledgeable product and content owners and leading edge in application security space.
5 - Very Satisfied	Community effort to ensure all parts of the globe are covered from awareness, workshop, etc. Treat all equally. Open source projects.
5 - Very Satisfied	I really feel that the community is really an awesome starting point for the next generation of security pentesters
5 - Very Satisfied	Justin Ferguson - I heard about OWASP and attended one meeting. Justin made me feel comfortable immediately at the meeting and in subsequent meetings. Additionally, he schedules excellent speakers. Overall, if it were not for him, I would not have actually paid to join the OWASP.
5 - Very Satisfied	Attended appsec cali this year. Great conference.
5 - Very Satisfied	Interesting and very relevant to my job
5 - Very Satisfied	The great free documentation (ASVS, SAMM, etc.)
5 - Very Satisfied	top 10 events ideology
5 - Very Satisfied	Interesting meetings, speakers and events that are well organized.
5 - Very Satisfied	- The networking event allows us to know each other and to meet companies.
5 - Very Satisfied	Use ZAP and Top 10. Interested in API Top 10. Enjoyed my first AppSec in DC recently. My company provides digital services for government agencies using FOSS. My job is to ensure their security and compliance using FOSS. OWASP tools and community help.
5 - Very Satisfied	OWASP Austin is pretty active and the core members are great. The happy hours, the chapter meetings, the lunch and learns, and LASCON are a lot of fun.
5 - Very Satisfied	great research and projects
5 - Very Satisfied	The most current informations and practices
5 - Very Satisfied	Good project and good publications
5 - Very Satisfied	education, information and networking
5 - Very Satisfied	Good visibility of Owasp top 10 Active chapter Good colleagues Good appsec in dc or ny
5 - Very Satisfied	I believe in the mission and use the research daily.
5 - Very Satisfied	Valuable mission and process
5 - Very Satisfied	Good people are trying to do the right thing
5 - Very Satisfied	Great meetups with good speakers
5 - Very Satisfied	Openness
5 - Very Satisfied	the wealth of information available; the community ethos
5 - Very Satisfied	Great tools and community
5 - Very Satisfied	great resources and people
5 - Very Satisfied	Such a highly active organization. So many of the projects are recognized as leaders in their respective areas (ZAP, Top Ten, etc.)
5 - Very Satisfied	No complaints
5 - Very Satisfied	The professionalism and kindness of the people in my two local Chapters - the Los Angeles County and Orange County clubs.
5 - Very Satisfied	Services offered and community
5 - Very Satisfied	Very helpful projects
5 - Very Satisfied	The ability to communicate with network professionals.
5 - Very Satisfied	Good local events and also AppSec is great

2020 OWASP Member Survey

What would you like to see added as an additional benefit for OWASP members?

More local trainings, they are great and are also useful for networking.
Continued training and interacting.
I just need to find more time to get locally involved, as I believe that would be beneficial.
Computer Project Leaders need to see computer and systems security as a first consideration in new project development. Too often new systems are rushed to market on tight budgets and tight time constraints and security is the last check off item. For example, the recent election problems with a "last minute" voting app being thrown at the public with little or no testing and ,most likely poor security checks, if any. I give a presentation on "Starting a Computer Project Out Right from the Start". Where all the security issues are designed in at the front before the first line of computer coding is written. This technique is used at NASA and adapted by myself and others. OWASP needs to conduct a training program of design and development that makes computer security up front and not an after thought in the end.
Note: Last year I became a Lifetime Member, so some of the answers didn't quite fit.
A refreshed board structure and project structure. Less commercial aspect to global conferences, cheaper tickets. The Owasp of Jeff Williams, Tom Brennan, Eoin Keary & Seba was much better, more effective and easier to engage with.
Training with discounts for members
Training discounts and then those training discounts linked to affiliated security certifications or cooperation
Discounts to events in other countries does nothing for me. I think inviting and getting more information to new members on how they can be involved would have helped.
Cloud based cheat sheet or add cloud stuff on testing guide.
I am a Japanese member.
I think localization is essential. I want to contribute in this direction this year.
More communication on the projects, meetings and opportunities to collaborate with encouragement from the seasoned experts
Maybe discount of various trainings and certificates.
discounted exam/prep courses for CISSP, CEH, OSCP etc. certifications.
Closed forum for sharing knowlegde. Maybe more active in sharing other conferences nearby
more professional sast and dast products. security concept blueprints.
Nothing, all good.
Discounted trainings and events for OWASP members
I would be interested in leading the local OWASP chapter, but there is technically already one and I would want to see if there is an official way that I can take leadership of it.
Thank you!
Stop the idiotic surveys.
It'd be nice that project leaders don't have to pay a member fee and if OWASP would take care of the costs of some events such as the OWASP summit.
Webinars, educational opportunities, maybe a job board
To be honest, the slack channel invites are a mess. The invite links expire and people wanting to join the community can't join.
There is very little value to my membership since my local chapter is defunct. I still paid for the lifetime membership though.
This is a little out of scope for this question but I would like to see a chapter close to Boise Idaho.
Can't think of anything
- A Welcome guide (a flyer) on the local chapter: what do we do, what you can do, how to enjoy ourselves.
I would like to have a local chapter meeting on how to maximize our membership. For example, explain about the OWASP projects more. I am a software engineer. But am very curious about security. I know there are others that do not have a job in security but are in a technical role and go to the meetings because they want to learn. I just think learning more about how we, those who do not do security professionally and may lack the experience, can actually learn more security and actually start to contribute to OWASP as well. I know I would love to.
All members should get a shirt with printed with the OWASP logo.
I would like to be more involved in the community but I don't know. I wanted to organize local meetup but I don't know OWASP can have my back on this

A member portal with a 'Delete my account and all my information' option.
If we as the member can get a snapshot of some highlight from the OWASP conference not held locally that's would be great. Snapshot of highlight not just the overview, but maybe like a recording or PowerPoint presentation from the lecture or training. It can just be one from the event.
priority access to local meet-ups. Many times the places fill up with non-members before you have chance to sign up :(
I would like to see more events in the netherlands
Evaluation and or constructive criticism of the Certification Circus.
more training, entry level skill building. apprenticeship opportunities
Regular webcast for the projects
Non-OWASP conference discounts. Publisher discounts.
Better communications and processes. I open tickets and write emails that are left unanswered.
Can't think of anything extra.
Copies of presentations given at conferences on the web site of OWASP for members. Presentation templates for OWASP Top10 measures to take in an organization to be used by members.
Not sure new benefits are needed : better make sure existing benefits are available to all members throughout the world
Get book discount
Engage w/ members over meetings - host good speakers, networking, project collaboration and so forth Project involvement opening new chapters
I love the central community initiatives but in my city (Montreal, Canada) there doesn't seem to be much going on on the local level. There seems to be some kind of group with people advertising they are on the board of a local chapter but perhaps i'm not in with the right mailing lists to see the value locally. When I was at DEFCON I met so many OWASP folks and interacted on wonderful conversations, it's what made me decide to join. Unfortunately, this has not translated to the local level.
Just a comment on the "security role" question: I see my self in all categories, and I think that is very common for solo security employees in companies, where you have to do everything.
Maybe an education pathway, so long as it is not too expensive to participate.
I would like to see the conference go back to recording and making available the presentations from events. I'm fine if there's an initial release to members followed by a later release to the general public just as long as the conference talks are recorded and available to watch in future.
swag maybe or a huge poster of the OWASP Top 10 2020
I'm not familiar with the different benefits.
Keep it up.
Networking even beside from official OWASP events brings a lot of benefit e.g. from my point of view the model of the German Chapter "Stammtisch Munich" is a success and may be adapted to other locations too. That's what we are trying at the moment.
respect
More meaningful engagements with OWASP members, and linking with local security associations. OWASP chapters should stop being silos. No OWASP swag are available over here as well (Singapore). Lifetime members are not honoured either, just being a statistic. OWASP has meaningful projects, and deserves more publicity, especially in APAC regions.
Less centralization, more federation, more power to the chapters and projects. HQ is a bottleneck.
Bigger conferences discounts
I find going to meetings in London quite difficult and not that welcoming when I get there. I would quite like to start a south coats/Sussex group, but I suspect there aren't enough of us to get it going. So - maybe an indication of where others live might be useful to gauge numbers.
Members don't really get much benefit. I think we should revisit if we even want to do memberships. I like how the EFF structures their payments. It's clear, I'm donating and I'm donating to a good cause. Maybe we should sell more the good work we do and less "membership" benefits.
More local events/meetings
Мне просто нужна поддержка в этом обществе которое не понимает куда мы движемся, и обманывают друг друга. Строят в городе Coworking centr IT HUB и даже не знают что с этим делать и просят закрыть сайт который я создал заплатил, пытался привлечь клиентов, потому что это такое место является фундаментальным для будущего. Если Вы можете мне заработать денег, так как без них тебя не воспринимает общество, то я смогу что то сделать в этой локации. Спасибо

Please update the new web version with the materials from the old one. There is a lot of things that are missing...
I have not fully scrutinised OWASP's resources like I have PMI so I am not in a position to criticise nor offer advise just yet. It would be unfair and baseless.
All good
OWASP Training for Projects and Tools
More content for OPs
Since joining OWASP, I've had no contact with or from anyone in the community. I joined the local chapter mailing list, and have never had a single email from them. It's a complete waste of time and money.
More presentations that provide information to young developers on where on the internet to get information that will assist them in their jobs.
none! We should NOT make a difference between members and non-members. Be inclusive for everyone! If OWASP feels good, I and hopefully other will donate (be a paid member).
Extra content.
Partnerships and critical and objective information about vendors and their solutions, and where they fit in the security stack and industry, and perhaps discounts or member access to exclusive POC and trail capabilities to this curated audience.
After reviewing and studying many solutions and projects of OWASP , Newly I applied for membership. Thanks to God I am currently the network security manager of the largest computer company in Iran. And if desired, good cooperation can be made in the areas of education, technical projects, and conferences to enhance security knowledge and make security internationally in the world. I also have a lot of ideas for holding conferences, presenting scientific papers and undertaking representation in Iran, and given my work experience, I am also able to raise funds for these programs.
Regards.
More co-sponsorship with other security and architecture groups.
Please fix the renewal process. Give me a button to renew and pay my money. It took a while to figure out how I was supposed to just "join" as a means of renewing. After I "joined", I got both a "please don't forget to renew" email and a "welcome to OWASP" email. There are probably some other benefits that would be cool but they take a back seat to optimizing the renewal process. Thanks.
More software with the OWASP verified.
Better visibility to local events, I often find out about them after they have occurred.
Ability to buy OWASP gear independently, maybe an online library of OWASP chapter content and presentation videos.
Global conference in Singapore and Asia pacific region
Include volunteering opportunities with OWASP open source projects in the newsletters
It would be cool if we had a certification, so we could ditch the CSSAP which is an annoyance.
The existing benefits are great: access to videos of the conference presentations; and its security projects which I have not taken advantage of - but I will sometime in the future.
i want join in OWASP-China Chapter Leadership
More training
a slack channel to interact across all the regions
More events focused on building things there is a lot of oofensive people but the prurple and blue feels missrepresented
A tangible membership card would be nice. I got one for CISSP, ACM, IEEE, IET, AES...
For some countries like Turkey, OWASP chapters are very silent and inactive. There should be some activities to boost these local chapters. Also, countries that are inactive and close geographically may form a regional chapter so that all those local chapters may work together to improve themselves.
Meetups specific to development- whether that's asking for help on an OWASP specific project or just a project where you'd like to ask other members for ideas
I'd love to see some small tangible token of thanks for folks that join. Dunno what. Hat or stickers or something? I can think on it.
The community projects add a lot of value. Keep up the great work!
More ideas and ways for developers to improve security skills through security related hackathons, etc
My membership does not get me anything. Not sure if it should, or what that should be. I mainly became a lifetime member to support the organization.
Certification programs that don't cost more than college (USA).

