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The First Hacked Site

“OPEN SESA…”
AH! SOMEONE GOT BY THE PASSWORD!

THE FIRST HACKED SITE
Web Security Trends

75% of cyber attacks & Internet security violations are generated through Internet applications

Source: Gartner Group

87% of Websites are vulnerable to attack

Source: SearchSecurity – January 2009

75% of enterprises experienced some form of cyber attack in 2009

Source: Symantec Internet Security Report – April 2010

90% of Websites are vulnerable to attack


$6.6 Million is the average cost of a data breach

Source: Ponemon Institute – January 2009
Web Application Vulnerabilities (as a percentage of total)

Source: Cenzic Q3-Q4, 2009 Application Trends Report
Web Vulnerabilities by Class (commercial applications)

Source: Cenzic Q3-Q4, 2009 Application Trends Report
Breakdown of the Miscellaneous Category

Source: Cenzic Q3-Q4, 2009 Application Trends Report
Web Vulnerabilities by Class (proprietary applications)

Source: Cenzic Q3-Q4, 2009 Application Trends Report
Percentage of Applications with Vulnerability Type (proprietary apps)

Source: Cenzic Q3-Q4, 2009 Application Trends Report
Information Leaks and Exposures (93%)

- Application Errors / Exceptions
- Forms that cached sensitive user information
- Passwords submitted without utilizing SSL
- Sensitive information passed as a URL parameter
- Password auto-complete attribute
- HTML / Javascript comments
- ...

Percentage of Vulnerabilities

Source: Cenzic Q3-Q4, 2009 Application Trends Report
Cross-Site Scripting (81%)

Percentage of Vulnerabilities

Source: Cenzic Q3-Q4, 2009 Application Trends Report
Session Management (72%)

- Weak session randomness
- Ineffective session termination
- Session Fixation
- ...

Percentage of Vulnerabilities

Source: Cenzic Q3-Q4, 2009 Application Trends Report
Authorization and Authentication Flaws (71%)

- Brute force login
- Unauthorized resource access
- Privilege escalation
- ...

Percentage of Vulnerabilities

Source: Cenzic Q3-Q4, 2009 Application Trends Report
Remote Code Execution (32%)

- Buffer/Integer Overflows
- Integer Overflows
- Command Injections
- ...

Percentage of Vulnerabilities

Source: Cenzic Q3-Q4, 2009 Application Trends Report
SQL Injection (32%)

Percentage of Vulnerabilities

Source: Cenzic Q3-Q4, 2009 Application Trends Report
Robert'); DROP TABLE Students;--

http://xkcd.com
Insecure Resource Location (24%)

- Sensitive files / information stored in insecure directories
- ...

Percentage of Vulnerabilities

Source: Cenzic Q3-Q4, 2009 Application Trends Report
Unauthorized Directory Access (19%)

Percentage of Vulnerabilities

Source: Cenzic Q3-Q4, 2009 Application Trends Report
Cross-Site Request Forgery (14%)

Source: Cenzic Q3-Q4, 2009 Application Trends Report
And The 6-Day Forecast?
Cloud Security - A Big Issue

Q: Rate the challenges/issues ascribed to the 'cloud'/on-demand model
(1=not significant, 5=very significant)

- Security: 74.6%
- Performance: 63.1%
- Availability: 63.1%
- Hard to integrate with in-house IT: 61.1%
- Not enough ability to customize: 55.8%
- Worried on-demand will cost more: 50.4%
- Bringing back in-house may be difficult: 50.0%
- Regulatory requirements prohibit cloud: 49.2%
- Not enough major suppliers yet: 44.3%

Source: IDC Enterprise Panel, August 2008  n=244
### Cloud Security - A Big Issue

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Issue</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Security Defects in the technology itself</td>
<td>57%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unauthorized access to or leak of proprietary information</td>
<td>53%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unauthorized access to or leak of our customer’s information</td>
<td>47%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Application or system performance</td>
<td>32%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business viability of provider: risk company will fail</td>
<td>30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business continuity or disaster recovery readiness of provider</td>
<td>22%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Features and general maturity of technology</td>
<td>19%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vendor Lock-in</td>
<td>17%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Information Week Analytics (547 respondents)
Cloud And Security

- Exposure is similar to any Web apps – but on a potentially massive scale
- Security boundaries and attack surfaces are often only partially understood
- Proliferation of Mashups and ‘open’ APIs that favor ‘experience’ over security
- Does security ownership transfer to the cloud infrastructure / platform provider?
- What happens in case of a breach? Who’s responsible?
- Often organizations are still figuring out the “Functionality / Usability” aspects of their cloud strategy...

“Security is usually the last component added to any new technology, and cloud computing is no exception.” – Mark Nicolett, Gartner
Top 5 Myths of Web Application Security

1. We use SSL so that’ll protect my Web site
   - SSL ≠ App Security
2. We have never been hacked
   - How do you know?
3. We’re PCI compliant
   - Heartland, Hannaford...
4. We test some of our Web applications once a year
   - Any vulnerable site is your weakest link
5. Too expensive
   - Many flexible options to get you jump started

Learn more: App Security MythBusters Videos
http://www.cenzic.com/resources/videos/mythbusters/
The Hacker World
Hackers: What Motivates Them?

- Hackers stole $1.2 million in 30 minutes from Sugarland Corporation & $9M in a few hours from RBS World Pay

- Hackers get paid ~ $10,000 / week


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Overall Rank 2009</th>
<th>Overall Rank 2008</th>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Percentage 2009</th>
<th>Percentage 2008</th>
<th>Range of Prices</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Credit card information</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>32%</td>
<td>$0.85–$30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Bank account credentials</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>$15–$850</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Email accounts</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>$1–$20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Email addresses</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>$1.70/MB–$15/MB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>Shell scripts</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>$2–$5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Full identities</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>$0.70–$20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>Credit card dumps</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>$4–$200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>Mailers</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>$4–$10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Cash-out services</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>$0–$600 plus 50%–60%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Website administration credentials</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>$2–$30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Why So Little Industry Progress?

- Functionality & Usability tend to almost always win over security
- Time-to-market is the name of the game
- Security continues to be an afterthought
- Very limited security related education
- Experts are still hard to find (compared to other disciplines)
- Many organizations still struggle to find a scalable and persistent security approach
- Stakeholders still “don’t always get it” ...
I discovered a hole in our internet security.

Good grief, man! How could you put a hole in our internet?

I didn't put it there. I found it... and it's not...

It's your job to fix that hole. I want you to work 24-7!

Actually, that's not my job. But I'll inform our network management group.

Passing the buck!!! You're a buck passer!!!

Forget it! There's no hole! It got better!

That's more like it.

I fixed the internet.
How To Best Dress For Bad Weather
Best App Security Practices

- Analyze and know your security boundaries and attack surfaces
- Beware of reliance on client-side security measures
  - Always implement strong server side input & parameter validation (black & whitelisting)
  - Test against a robust set of evasion rules
  - Remember: The client can never be trusted!
- Assume the worst case scenario for all 3rd party interactions
  - 3rd parties can inherently not be trusted!
Best App Security Practices (contd.)

- Implement anti-CSRF defenses
- Escape special characters before sending them to the browser (e.g. `<` to `<`)
- Leverage HTTPS for sensitive data, use `HTTPOnly` & `Secure` cookie flags
- Use parameterized SQL for any DB queries
- Implement a comprehensive, solid exception handling architecture
- Do not disclose any stack trace, debug log, or path information or failed SQL statements to users
- Use strong tokens with strong randomness
Best App Security Practices (contd.)

- Implement a comprehensive, solid exception handling architecture
  - Default error handler which returns sanitized error message for all error paths
  - Do not disclose any stack trace, debug log, or path information or failed SQL statements to users
Best App Security Practices (contd.)

- Beware of weak / faulty session management
  - Use strong authentication mechanism (e.g. two factor)
  - Implement strong session termination / logout mechanism
- Avoid weak passwords & weak change / forgot password mechanisms
- And always remember: The strongest authentication won't help if session management vulnerabilities exist!
Best App Security Practices (contd.)

- Beware of weak / faulty session management (contd.)
  - Implement strong logout functionality (with invalidation of session tokens & deletion of session & state on server)
  - Implement session expiration with same results as strong logout (after e.g. 5 or 10 minutes)
  - Ideally do not allow concurrent logins
  - Terminate sessions when attacks are detected

- Also see owasp.org and OWASP dev guide
Security In The Real World ...

It’s true, you might not be able to outrun the bear, but let’s not forget, all you have to do is outrun your competition!
Things to Remember

- Attackers can be extremely creative and overcome various defense mechanisms
- Never assume you’re safe just because you’ve implemented a few basic defenses
- Never underestimate your opponent!
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Areas of Testing / People involved</th>
<th># of Attacks</th>
<th>Testing Freq</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 No areas tested &gt; No People</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Intermittent testing of Dev, QA &gt;&gt; InfoSec (or just 1 person)</td>
<td>Basic 5 – 10 attacks</td>
<td>Test once or twice</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Dev / QA Tested, Testing pre-prod apps &gt; InfoSec, Mgmt (few people)</td>
<td>Intrusive attacks</td>
<td>Test every year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 Dev, QA &amp; Safe testing of Production apps &gt; Execs, InfoSec, Dev (more people, but no standardization)</td>
<td>Infrastructure + (non)-intrusive</td>
<td>Testing every 6 mo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 Dev, QA, and full production Tested &gt; Execs, InfoSec, Dev, QA (most of the company is security driven)</td>
<td>Application logic tests + all others</td>
<td>Continuous Testing / monthly</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Application Security Maturity Model

1. Panic Scramble
2. Pit of Despair
3. Security as Core Business Process
4. High
5. Low

Low

People & Process

Tools & Technology
3 Products
1 Risk Management Dashboard

Cenzic Hailstorm
Enterprise ARC

Most important & complex apps; requires robust testing

Cenzic ClickToSecure
Managed

Critical apps that are less complex; requires medium testing

Cenzic ClickToSecure ‘Self-Service’

Less critical apps (i.e. internal), but still require basic testing

Persistent security approach saves money and reduces risk

All results viewed in the ARC Dashboard

OWASP
Risk Management Dashboard

- Tells which apps have been tested
- Finds and lists all applications
- Web Interface
- Tells vulnerability levels
- Quantitatively tells how severe the risk is for each app
Sophistication of Hackers ...

It’s a message from the hackers - they’ve decided to leave us alone. They’re looking for more of a challenge.
Meets Unprepared Users ...