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Speaker Intro

Madhumita Iyer

- Associate Security Consultant, Paladion
- Experience in Application security testing, network penetration testing and vulnerability assessments.
- Project Lead for large scale enterprise application pen tests and have experience in Thick client, Web based and Mobile Applications penetration tests.
Trend #1
Testing large number of applications in the enterprise

- Our largest testing project
  - 20 Apps in 2003
  - 500 Apps in 2007

- More enterprises want to test 50 – 250 apps
What’s driving the trend?

- Primarily, compliance requirements
  - Especially SOX
  - Both in the US and in India
- Fed by greater awareness
  - Thanks to forums like OWASP
Where is this seen most today?

- > $ 500 million enterprises
- Global footprint
- 3 we’ve seen with large number of apps are from Banking and Finance
The types of apps

- Mix of internal and external facing apps
  - 90 - 10

- Mix of web apps and thick clients
  - 70 - 30
Trend #2
From business owners to centralized teams

- The control is moving to centralized teams

- The benefits
  - Standardize the approach
  - Co-ordinate the tests better
  - Negotiate better rates
Ground reality #1

**Budgets are limited**

- Application security testing budget is a small slice of the overall security budget
Ground reality #2

**Internal expertise might be limited**

- While the Security officers were fluent in
  - Application security best practices
  - OWASP Top 10

- Beyond them, the awareness was a lot lesser

- Testing expertise is usually in short supply
But the good news…

- Strong program management capabilities
- Visible support from Top Management
- Visionary leadership
Traditional penetration tests don’t scale

- Traditional pen tests take 10 – 15 days per app
  - Is the gold standard of security testing today
  - Is expensive
The 4-step professional pen tests

1. Create Threat Profile
2. Create the Test Plan
3. Execute the Tests
4. Prepare the report
The Threat Profile

- Threat = “goal of the adversary”
- Threat Profile is the list of all threats to app
- Example – for a travel booking site
  ▸ An adversary...
    ▪ Tricks others to buy tickets at a higher price
    ▪ Buys tickets at a lower rate than advertised
    ▪ Modifies the itinerary of another user
    ▪ Cancels the tickets of other users
    ▪ Views the itinerary of other users
    ▪ Shuts down the site
    ▪ ...

The Test Plan

- Maps each threat to relevant pages and the relevant attacks

Example

- Tricks others to buy tickets at a higher price
  - Relevant page(s): Buy ticket, Confirm purchase
  - Relevant attacks: Variable manipulation, CSRF

Converting the Threat Profile into meaningful attacks
Test Execution

- Combination of manual and automated techniques
- Automated testing
  - Injection attacks
  - Cross Site Scripting
- Manual testing
  - Business logic flaws
  - Privilege escalation
Reporting

- Detailed reports with
  - Walk through of attack with screen shots
  - How to solve it

- A good app pen test takes time
Ground reality #3

For 200 apps, penetration tests are too expensive

- No one had the budgets for 200 app pen tests
  - 200 x 15 = 3000 days

- A more pragmatic approach was required
Nor was Automated scanning enough

- Automated scanning is quick, inexpensive
- It’s getting better over the years
- In isolation, not useful
- Enterprises want more than a scanner output
How they attacked the problem

1. Different levels of testing
2. Framework for classifying apps
3. Baseline standard checklist
4. Streamline reporting
Different levels of testing

- All apps won’t undergo the same level of testing
  - Some apps will get a full test
  - Others will get a shorter, faster test

- Purists wouldn’t like it, but this was pragmatic
  - With limited budgets, how do we test 200 apps best?
Framework to classify apps

■ A risk assessment framework to prioritize apps
  ▸ Some were simple, some were complex
  ▸ Ultimately, some simple questions
    ▪ Does the app face the internet?
    ▪ Does the app handle customer sensitive data?
    ▪ What’s the potential financial loss if the app is down for a day?

■ Prioritizing helps share the limited budget better between the apps
Baseline standard for the security tests

- A minimum set of checks for all apps
  - Does it do input validations at the server?
  - Does the app adhere to the password policy?
  - Does it check for old password when changing the password?
  - Is it safe against SQL Inj, XSS, CSRF?
- Typically 40 – 70 checks
- Not much of privilege escalation attacks
- Threat profile not essential for these tests
Streamlined Reporting

- Simplified the template
  - Summary - Simple spreadsheet
  - Detailed – No screen shots

- Report Repository
  - Readymade description/solution of common findings
  - Easy to copy-paste from
  - Minimal tailoring required for each finding

- Reporting time dropped to 2 hours
Initial estimates

■ Duration
  ▸ Baseline Security Test: 2 days
  ▸ Detailed Security Test: 4 – 8 days

■ % of Apps
  ▸ Baseline Security Test: 50%
  ▸ Detailed Security Test: 50%
For 200 apps, the total would be

100 x 2
+ 100 x 5
= 700 person days

That would fit the budget, if that worked according to the plan.
Lessons from the 1st month pilot

- What worked
  - The streamlined reporting worked
  - The baseline tests ~ 1 day

- What didn’t
  - The 5 day detailed test was a stretch

- And we still missed the target by 20%
The vital lesson from the pilot

- Starting delays are a culprit
  - Hidden
  - Pernicious

- For a 2-day test, a 1-day delay is a 50% hit on schedule
Countering “start delays”

- Strong program management
  - Schedule 4 weeks in advance
  - Follow up frequently in that time
  - Over-book by 20%
    - As some logins might not come anyway
New challenges as the numbers picked up

1. Profusion of reports
2. A few laid-back app owners
3. Peaks and troughs in load
Profusion of reports

- Too many password protected PDFs floating around

The Solution

- Online reporting portal with logins to business owners
- Reports could be exported to PDF when required
A few laid-back app owners

- Some app owners couldn’t make the time
  - They already had a lot on their hands

- Solution
  - Escalate during reviews with senior management
  - Visible support from senior management
  - Those lagging were pulled up
Peaks and troughs in load

- Schedules are made 4 weeks in advance
  - But, apps might miss the schedule
- About 2 weeks visibility into future load
  - Team to resize dynamically with 2 weeks notice
- Not very easy
- And seating space
Crossed the 100th app in 4th month

- Quite thrilled
  - This was a comforting milestone
- This was 3 weeks behind initial schedule
- The half-way mark changes the outlook
Metrics program

- Quantitative feedback on the benefits
- Ideal if started from the first
- In practice, it started after the half way mark

Common metrics
  - Average no. of vulns per app
  - No. of vulns closed per month
  - Distribution of risk profile of vulns

Touched 200\textsuperscript{th} app in 8\textsuperscript{th} month
Summary

1. Classify apps based on risk
2. Different levels of testing
3. Standardize on the baseline test
4. Reduce waste – streamline reporting
5. Schedule in advance
6. Consider online reporting
7. Get reviewed by senior management
8. Work closely with partners to manage fluctuating loads
9. Metrics program to measure effectiveness
Thank You